FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON MEDFORD DIVISION

HSCP OREGON, LLC, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

No. 1:21-cv-00269-CL

v.

ORDER

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, et al.,

Defendants.

AIKEN, District Judge.

This case comes before the Court on a Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") filed by Magistrate Judge Mark Clarke on October 27, 2021. ECF No. 29. Judge Clarke recommends that Plaintiffs' Petition for Return of Property, ECF No. 1, be DENIED and this case be DISMISSED.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act, the Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party files objections to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

Case 1:21-cv-00269-CL Document 35 Filed 02/15/22 Page 2 of 2

For those portions of a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations to

which neither party has objected, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review.

See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress,

in enacting [the Act], intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate's

report to which no objections are filed."). Although no review is required in the

absence of objections, the Magistrates Act "does not preclude further review by the

district judge [] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard." Id. at 154. The

Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) recommend that "[w]hen no timely

objection is filed," the court should review the recommendation for "clear error on the

face of the record."

In this case, Plaintiffs have filed Objections, ECF No. 33, and Defendants have

filed a Response to Plaintiffs' Objections, ECF No. 34. The Court has reviewed the

filings and the record and finds no error. The F&R is ADOPTED. Plaintiffs' Petition

for Return of Property is DENIED and this case is DISMISSED. Final judgment

shall be entered accordingly.

It is so ORDERED and DATED this 15th day of February 2022.

/s/Ann Aiken

ANN AIKEN

United States District Judge

Page 2 – ORDER